

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Alexander Glenn Godfrey | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|-------------|---|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/613,798 | | 07/02/2003 | | AVERA.13DVDV1DV | |
| 20995 | 7590 | 06/01/2004 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET | | | | JONES, DWAYNE C | |
| FOURTEENTH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| IRVINE, | RVINE, CA 92614 | | | 1614 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/613,798 | GODFREY ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Dwayne C Jones | 1614 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply | cation appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commul - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute cause the application to become AR. | (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on . | |
| l | n)⊠ This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition fo | or allowance except for formal matte | ers, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice | under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the appl | lication. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>5 and 7</u> is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | on and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the E | Examiner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | | v the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | e correction is required if the drawing(s |) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be | y the Examiner. Note the attached (| Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do | | 19(a)-(d) or (f). |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority do | | plication No. |
| Copies of the certified copies of t | he priority documents have been re | eceived in this National Stage |
| application from the International | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | or a list of the certified copies not re | ceived. |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sun | nmary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- | 948) Paper No(s)/N | Mail Date |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/3/03</u>. | 0/SB/08) 5) | rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,798

Art Unit: 1614

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1-7 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected.
- 3. Claim 5 and 7 are objected.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed on October 3, 2003 has been reviewed and considered, see enclosed copy of PTO FORM 1449.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of these claims defines the variable of R^2 as a "hydrogen (C_1 - C_6)alkyl" group, which does not make sense. Its appears that a comma

Application/Control Number: 10/613,798 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

is missing after hydrogen and before the (C_1-C_6) alkyl. Consequently, the claims are rendered vague and indefinite.

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is presently not clearly understood to the skilled artisan as what is clearly embraced by the meaning of "suitable" with respect to "base", "leaving group", and "oxidizing agent." As a result, these ambiguous phrases do not clearly define the claims to one skilled in the art and, thus, render the claims vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,798 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rover of U.S. Patent No. 5,292,732. Rover teaches of using the compound CHOCH₂CH(R¹)C(=O)R², (see column 9, lines 1-10, compound XV, under the Reaction Scheme I). In particular, the instant compound is rendered obvious over the Rover compound XV, when R¹ and R² are aryl. The claims differ from the reference by reciting a larger genus than the prior art compounds. However, the selection of variable groups on the substituents groups on the instant claim, especially in view of the prior art compound XV and for the variables of R¹ and R², is well within the level of the skilled artisan, because an ordinary artisan would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the prior art genus would have similar properties and, thus, the same use as the genus as a whole.

Subject Matter Free of the Prior Art

13. Claims 1-5 and 7 are free of the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,798

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. C. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0578. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursday, and Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, may be reached at (571) 272-0584. The official fax No. for correspondence is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Tech. Ctr. 1614 May 25, 2004